



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,647	03/11/2004	Stephen J. Lafontaine	02LF-1	8137	
7590 12/21/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert R. Meads 214 Paseo de Suenos			SINGH,	SINGH, SUNIL	
Redondo Beach			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER	
			3673		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/798,647	LAFONTAINE, STEPHEN J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sunil Singh	3673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13	' IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I.			
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	cause the application to become ABANDONEI) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5,8-17,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,4,5 and 8-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
		on No			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
C. Debed and Testament Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/798,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group III in the reply filed on 10/6/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Elliott '033.

Elliott discloses a ground piercing tool for tunneling under preexisting surface structures, comprising: a ground piercing forward facing pointed tip (34) including a rearward threaded portion (38) and a radially extending shoulder outward (see Fig. 4) of the threaded portion; an elongated axially extending first rod (35) including a forward threaded portion for mating with the rearward threaded portion of the tip, a first radially extending shoulder outward of the forward threaded portion for engaging the radially extending shoulder of the tip (see Fig. 4) and a rearward threaded portion for mating with a forward threaded portion (46) of an end cap (36) or a second rod of the tool; and an end cap (36) having a rearward facing axial impact surface (see Fig. 4) and

Application/Control Number: 10/798,647

Art Unit: 3673

a forward threaded portion (46) for mating with a rearward threaded portion of the first or second rods. The first rod includes a second radially extending shoulder (54) outward of the rearward threaded portion of the first rod (35,53) and the end cap includes a radially extending shoulder (see page 2 right col. Line 65+) outward of the forward threaded portion (46) of the end cap (36) for engaging the radially extending shoulder of the first rod (54).

Page 3

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Elliot does not a plurality of rods and mating radially extending shoulders as described in the specification. Such arguments are far more limiting than the claimed subject matter. The claims call for a plurality of rods in the alternative; therefore, it is not compulsory to have more than one rod. Secondly, applicant argues there is no mating of shoulders. This is not concurred with. Figure 4 shows the shoulder of member (34) mating with the shoulder of member (35) and the shoulder (54) of member (35) mating with the shoulder (see page 2 right col. Line 65+) of end cap (36). In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., transfer driving forces to the tip portion without creating shearing forces on mating threads of the tool) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,647 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Suzanne Barrett can be reached on (571) 272-7053. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,647 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

SS